

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/19/2005

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 09/699,198 | 10/27/2000 | Suhail Nanil | 004906.P003 | 6605 | |
| 75 | 90 08/19/2005 | | EXAM | INER | |
| Daniel M DeVos | | | SAM, PHIRIN | | |
| Blakely Sokolor | ff Taylor& Zafman LLP | | | | |
| 12400 Wilshire Boulevard | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 7th Floor | | | 2661 | | |
| Loc Angeles C | A 00025 | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | 09/699,198 | NANIL, SUHAIL | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Phirin Sam | 2661 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 M | <u>ay 2005</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar |) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 10-13,15-21,23-30,32,34-40,42-44 and | ☑ Claim(s) <u>10-13,15-21,23-30,32,34-40,42-44 and 48-77</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| _ | 5) Claim(s) <u>19-21,23,24,26,28-30,32,34,35,42,43,48-50,63-68 and 75-77</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| · · | 7) Claim(s) 39 is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | epted or b) objected to by the B | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Trib The oath of declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action of form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | • | | | | | |
| 12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | o-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | or the certified copies not receive | ed. | | | | |
| ami | | | | | | |
| PHIRIN SAM Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| PRIMARY EXAM 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | HINER 4) ☐ Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | nte | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/699,198

Art Unit: 2661

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 10, 12, 13, 15, 16, 18, 36, 38, 40, 55, 56, and 58-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,366,561 (hereinafter referred as "Bender") in view of US Pub. 2002/0038419 (hereinafter referred as "Garrett").

Bender discloses the invention (amended claims 13, 16, 18, and claims 36, 55, 59, 61, 62) claimed including a machine readable medium that provides instructions, which when execute by a set of processors, cause the set of processors to perform operations comprising:

- (a) encapsulating an Ethernet frame in layer 2 tunneling protocol (L2TP) (see Figs. 6b and 10b, col. 8, lines 48-54);
- (b) transmitting the L2TP encapsulated Ethernet frame over a network (see Figs. 6b and 10b, col. 8, lines 56-59);
- (c) decapsulating the Ethernet frame from L2TP (see Figs. 6b and 10b, col. 8, lines 61-62);

Bender does not disclose performing session fail retry. However, Garrett discloses performing session fail retry (see Figs. 6 and 7, page 3, line [0017]). Wherein the network device performs session to determine the source address to the addresses of the providers. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine performing session fail retry teaching by Garrett with Bender. The motivation for doing so would

Art Unit: 2661

have been to provide to enable multiple services to share the facilities of an access network read on page 1, line [0004]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Garrett and Bender to obtain the invention as specified in the claims 13, 16, 18, 36, 55, 59, 61, and 62.

Regarding amended claims 10, 15, and claims 38, 56, 60, Bender discloses the L2TP encapsulated Ethernet frame is transmitted on one of a plurality of sessions of a non-homogenous tunnel (see Fig. 6B).

Regarding amended claim 12, and claims 40, 50, Bender discloses all limitations. On the other hand, Bender does not disclose transmitting a MAC address. However, Garrett discloses transmitting a MAC address (see Fig. 2b, page 2, line [0015]). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the MAC address teaching by Garrett with Bender. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide to identify each node of a network or network device. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Garrett and Bender to obtain the invention as specified in the claims 12, 40, and 58.

3. Claims 11, 13, 16-18, 25, 27, 36, 37, 42, 44, 50, 51, 53-55, 57, 59, and 69-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,366,561 (hereinafter referred as "Bender") in view of US Patent 6,801,509 (hereinafter referred as "Chuah").

Bender discloses the invention (amended claims 13, 16-18, 25, 27, 44, and claims 36, 55, 59, 69, 72) as claimed including a machine readable medium that provides instructions, which when execute by a set of processors, cause the set of processors to perform operations comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/699,198

Art Unit: 2661

(a) encapsulating an Ethernet frame in layer 2 tunneling protocol (L2TP) (see Figs. 6b and 10b, col. 8, lines 48-54);

Page 4

- (b) transmitting the L2TP encapsulated Ethernet frame over a network (see Figs. 6b and 10b, col. 8, lines 56-59);
- (c) decapsulating the Ethernet frame from L2TP (see Figs. 6b and 10b, col. 8, lines 61-62);

Bender does not disclose performing session fail retry. However, Chuah discloses performing session fail retry (see Figs. 2 and 3, col. 5, line 28-32). Wherein the network device performs session to determine the source address to the addresses of the providers. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine performing session fail retry teaching by Chuah with Bender. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide to check the validation of VPN read on col. 5, lines 29-30. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chuah and Bender to obtain the invention as specified in the claims 13, 16-18, 36, 44, 55, 59, 69, and 72.

Regarding claim 11, and claims 37, 57, Bender discloses all limitations. On the other hand, Bender does not disclose attribute value pairs (AVPs). However, Chuah discloses AVPs (see col. 6, lines 50-56). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine AVPs teaching by Chuah with Bender. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide to establish the control connection. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chuah and Bender to obtain the invention as specified in the claims 11, 37, and 57.

Application/Control Number: 09/699,198 Page 5

Art Unit: 2661

Regarding amended claim 42, and claims 50-51, 53-54, 70-71, 73-74, Bender discloses the L2TP encapsulated Ethernet frame is transmitted on one of a plurality of sessions of a non-homogenous tunnel (see Fig. 6B).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 19-21, 23, 24, 26, 28-30, 32, 34, 35, 42, 43, 48-50, 63-68, and 75-77 are allowed.
- 5. Claim 39 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- (1) US Patent 6,654,808 (Chuah) discloses proving quality of service in layer two tunneling protocol networks.
- (2) US Patent 6,522,627 (Mauger) discloses managing internet protocol connection oriented services.
- (3) US Patent 6,487,689 (Chuah) discloses receiver initiated recovery algorithm for the layer 2 tunneling protocol.
- (4) US Patent 6,473,798 (Grosser, Jr. et al) discloses method and system for testing a layer 2 tunnel in a data communication network.

Page 6 Application/Control Number: 09/699,198

Art Unit: 2661

(5) US Patent 6,452,920 (Comstock) discloses mobile terminating L2TP using mobile IP data.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 8.

examiner should be directed to Phirin Sam whose telephone number is (571) 272-3082. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Chau T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272 - 3126. The fax number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273 - 8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 18, 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER